Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/530,927	HORII ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NINOS DONABED	2144	
NINOS DONABED	2144	

	NINOS DONABED	2144		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 06/07/2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exten have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate exten have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate exten under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if times reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	sliance with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4	Slad within two manths	a of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT ow);	E below);		
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	•			
7. \(\) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 1-2.		be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar and the first of the file	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).	
 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by 		•		
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2144				

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues on page 9 of the After Final filed on 06/07/2008 that Takahashi fails to disclose or suggest 11) proving a predefined correspondence between the common states and individual states which define the operating states of each format engine and a representation different from each format engine, and controlling operations of the format engine such that each format engine is in an arbitrary individual state; and 2) controlling a format engine in an individual state corresponding to a common state including a message received from a format engine managing means for controlling the format engine. Examiner respectfully disagrees and points to column 8-10 and specifically points to Column 10 lines 10-40. This section presents a common state and individual states with regards to pclasses.